

Tami L. Stainfield
847 Lower Chester Road
Charleston, WV 25302

January 31, 2014

West Virginia Attorney General
State Capitol Complex
Bldg. 1, Room E-26
Charleston, WV 25305

Dear Sir:

This letter is to bring attention to a breakdown in the Justice System that has been defined in the US Constitution. Tami Stainfield arrest was on April 20, 2013 and the first correspondence from Prosecutors office is January 24, 2014. The content is 'request for reciprocal discovery' and 'exhibit list'. The letter highlights Rule 29(d) on discovery; the reading of this rule should bring forward the crisis.

The package dated January 29, 2014 sent earlier this week to your office, and this correspondence shall be adequate discovery and evidence on the unethical and unconstitutional procedures of the State of West Virginia.

Evidence shows I submitted a complaint to Sheriff's department on April 24, 2013 regarding police procedures and the constitutionality of the rest, which is 3 days after the release from prison. From that I received an apology from Rutherford and acknowledgement the letter was read.

Then on July 1, 2013 I got the hearing date in mail; and at which time I issued subpoenas to both Police departments for the July 30, 2013 hearing. Then on or about September 9, 2013 I issued a second set of subpoena's for no data was provided from either Police department except an edited version of the first statement from the day of the arrest. The October 2, 2013 Jury Trial then was cancelled 3 days before – for the Judge sent a correspondence stating she was having a medical procedure, furthermore Donny Atkins was in attendance in the hallway to validate that Jury Trial was postponed by the State.

However, with concern on that cancelled Jury date October 2, 2013 the State hired without my consent a public defender, even though I was prepared and had also within the 10 days allowed asked why the case has not been dismissed due to lack of evidence relating to the statues and also failure of State to provide any subpoena evidence. At the Judge Booths, hearing I told the justice this public defender was never hired and never given legal consent to be involved in my case. At this time I have still not received any information regarding a dismissal or date of a Jury Trial.

With concern for all persons charged in West Virginia, I can no longer understand how the State cannot provide any information from the two subpoenas for that is due process and concept of habeas corpus (evidence that was available and would prove innocence). Furthermore, the right to a speedy trial, due process, and evidence or even a police statement that in completion matches the WV statues charged – these are basic requirement of law.

Sincerely,

Tami L. Stainfield

Cc: John B. Hardison, Office of the Prosecuting Attorney